

Response and Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.111

Application No. 10/789,981

Page 5 of 5

Remarks

After entry of the amendment, claims 6-8 and 15-22 are pending.

Claims 1-5 and 9-14 have been canceled without prejudice, rendering the rejection under 35 USC § 101 moot.

Claim 18 is supported by the specification at, for example, page 11, line 2. Claim 19 is supported by the specification at, for example, page 2, lines 19-20. Claim 20 is supported by the specification at, for example, page 3, lines 15-17. Claim 21 is supported by the specification at, for example, page 3, lines 9-10. Claim 22 is supported by the specification at, for example, page 3, lines 17-18.

No issues of new matter should arise and entry of the amendment is requested.

Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer concurrently herewith to overcome the rejection of claims 15-17 over the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of claims 6-8 and 15-22.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward D. Grieff
Registration No. 38,898

Date: May 3, 2005

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Phone: 202-942-8453